Town 1: Moose City

Make one land decision

Problem: Since more and more people are moving into your town, the prices of homes and land have become very high. There are many vacant lots within the city that could be developed. The town could purchase the vacant land and keep it as open space for residents, or use it for something else - homes or businesses, or small scale food operations.

A. Develop 6 lots into pocket parks. You will have to pay \$3,000 to clean up the lots, since they have toxic pollution in the soil (lead). Once the land is clean, you will build a park. This will cost \$500 per park to build and purchase materials (lawn, benches, playground equipment, walkways, and lights).



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+3 Air quality card	Added trees will help clean the air and provide shade	
+4 Water quality card	Toxins that runoff into the river will be removed and the park will filter and clean rainwater and runoff	
+2 Biodiversity card	There will be more habitat for plants and animals	
+3 Human health card	Getting rid of toxins and creating a green space for exercise and clean air	
- \$6,000	Cost of cleaning the lots and building parks	
Residents who like this idea: 60%		

B. Develop the lots into homes/businesses. In order to encourage development, you will spend \$3,000 to clean up the lots. You will earn \$1,000 in taxes each year. Since you don't want the homes and businesses that are built on the lots to create more pollution, the buildings will have to meet all environmental designs. To do this, you are going to help the builders to become 'green' by supplying advice on how to make energy-saving buildings. This will force you to hire a part-time environmental builder, which will cost the town \$3,000.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+2 Water quality card	Toxins that runoff into the river will be removed	
+2 Human health card	Creating healthy buildings and homes for workers and residents	
- \$5,000	The final cost of cleaning the land and hiring the environmental builder, plus the tax income for Year 1.	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

C. Allow small scale conventional farming on 6 lots. This option would provide food for the city and turn the lots into something attractive for people to look at. You will have to spend \$3,000 to clean the contaminated soil from the lots, but the farmers will pay rent on the land so you will ultimately recover your costs AND make some money (after 5 years). In order keep costs down, many farmers are asking to be allowed to use chemicals, if necessary, to control weeds and pests.



Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
-2 Water quality card	Although the soil is now cleaned, new toxins	
	will be added through fertilizers and pesticides	
-2 Biodiversity card	Chemicals used on the crops will affect local	
	biodiversity	
+1 Human health card	People will be able to eat local, fresh food	
- \$3,000	To clean the soil	
Residents who like this idea: 90%		

Profit in 5 years: \$5000

D. Allow small scale organic farming on 6 lots. This option would provide food for the city and turn the lots into something attractive for people to look at. You will have to spend \$3,000 to clean the contaminated soil from the lots, but the farmers will pay rent on the land so you will ultimately recover your costs AND make some money (after 5 years). Growing organic food costs more, so fewer low-income residents will be able to take advantage of the fresh, local food. Using organic practices will improve the environment of your city.



Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
+2 Water quality card	The soil is cleaned and farmers will not use any chemicals	
+2 Biodiversity card	Birds and insects will do well in the new habitat	
+4 Human health card	People will be able to eat local, fresh food	
- \$3,000	To clean the soil	
	Residents who like this idea: 709	Ж

Profit in 5 years: \$2,500

Make one water decision

Problem: People in your city have been getting sick from their drinking water. When the water was tested, high levels of nitrates were found, probably due to the chemicals used on farms upstream in the town of Rabbit. Nitrates are the common ingredient in fertilizers used by farmers. These farmers often need to use fertilizers in order to produce enough crops, but unfortunately a lot of those fertilizers end up in the water and become pollutants. Nitrates can lead to low oxygen in the water, which is bad for biodiversity. Also, nitrates in drinking water can cause 'blue baby disease'. This disease affects babies and can cause brain damage or even death. Now you have to decide whether to build a drinking water treatment plant.

A. Build a new drinking water treatment plant. You have to spend \$6,000 to build the plant, but it would provide jobs which would bring \$1,000 in income taxes each of the following years. The treatment plant would remove the extra nitrate that is in the drinking water.

Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
-2 Water quality card	Water quality in streams would not improve	
	because the excess nitrate would still be in	
	the waterways, just not in the drinking water.	
-2 Biodiversity card	Biodiversity would not improve because the	
	aquatic organisms because nitrate would only	
	be taken out of drinking water.	
+3 Human health	No deaths from "blue baby disease"	
-\$6,000	Cost of the treatment plant	
	Residents who like this idea: 70)%

Profit in five years: \$5,000

B. Convince the town of Rabbit to reduce their water pollution. Rabbit does not want to do anything about its water pollution, so you have to find a way to convince them. You could ask farmers in Rabbit to reduce their use of fertilizers (which may reduce the amount of crops they produce), or you could ask them to use organic fertilizers instead of using lots of chemical fertilizer. If you can get them to reduce their pollution levels, the health of your residents will improve and water quality will improve. Providing \$4,000 for Rabbit to begin changing its farming practices would be ideal.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+2 Water quality card	Water quality would improve because the	
	excess nitrate would be reduced.	
+2 Biodiversity card	Biodiversity would improve because the aquatic	
	organisms would no longer have to deal with	
	high levels of nitrate in their water	
+2 Human health	Less deaths from "blue baby disease"	
-\$4,000	Cost of changing farming practices in Rabbit	
Residents who like this idea: 90%		

C. Do nothing and agree to pay for medical fees of sick residents. Paying for medical fees would cost at least \$6,000 right now. However, it could cost much more in the future depending on how many people get sick and how severe their illness is. This option would not address the underlying problem of water quality and may cause parents of sick babies to sue the town.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
-4 Water quality card	Nitrate pollution will continue to increase	
-2 Biodiversity card	Aquatic organisms would suffer from increasing levels of nitrate in their water	
-4 Human health	Deaths from "blue baby disease"	
-\$6,000	Medical fees	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Make one air decision

Problem: Traffic has increased in Moose City, and people are getting frustrated with spending a lot of time driving to work, paying more money for gasoline, and having brown, polluted air. At the same time, people like being able to drive themselves to where they need to go, when they need to go. Some of the negative aspects of air pollution include causing breathing problems in people, acid rain (caused when pollution falls with rain) which pollutes water and soil and deteriorates buildings, and an ugly view of brown skies.



A. Build a light rail system (a type of non-polluting railroad) within the

city. This would cost \$10,000, but it would last for the next 25-30 years. Many taxpayers in the city incorrectly think of public transportation as dirty, unsafe and always late, and may not want to spend the money to build one. Through education, you may be able to convince the people who live in Moose City that this is a good idea, since their own health will improve because the light rail doesn't create any pollution. If people accept the light rail, the light rail system will make \$2,000 per year from the tickets people purchase to ride. You will reduce air and water pollution because you would reduce the number of cars that are releasing pollution from their tailpipe.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+ 3 air quality cards	Light rail would reduce air pollution from cars, trucks, and buses.	
+ 2 water quality cards	Without excess air pollution, acid rain will decrease.	
+1 biodiversity cards	Better quality ecosystems means more organisms	
+ 3 human health cards	Human health will improve, especially those with allergies and respiratory problems.	
- \$10,000	It is expensive now, but it will begin to make money after five years.	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Profit in five years: \$10,000

B. Encourage people to drive less and carpool: This would cost \$3,000 because you would have to develop a public information campaign as well as build a carpool lane. If people think that carpooling will help them get to work faster, they may be willing to try it.

Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
+ 2 air quality cards	Fewer cars means less air pollution.	
+ 1 water quality card	Without excess air pollution, acid	
	rain will decrease a little.	
+ 1 human health card	Human health will improve a bit.	
- \$3,000	Education campaign.	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

C. Do Nothing. This would cost nothing, but will continue to create more pollution in the air and water, while also increasing the poor respiratory health in humans. At the same time, it would allow people to remain independent because they get to keep their cars.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?	
Money Costs and Profit			
- 2 air quality cards	This would create more air pollution.		
- 1 water quality card	More acid rain will fall.		
-2 biodiversity cards	Organisms will continue to suffer		
- 2 human health cards	People will continue to get sick,		
	especially children who live in the city.		
\$0	No profit, no cost		
	Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Profit after five years: \$0

D. Increase urban tree cover. Research has shown that as little as a 10% increase in tree cover in a city can reduce air pollutants by 1-15% (depending on the pollutant). In order to do this in Moose City, \$4,000 would need to be spent to buy and plant the trees. It will also cost the city some money each year for maintenance.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?	
Money Costs and Profit			
+ 4 air quality cards	Trees would reduce air pollution.		
+ 1 water quality card	Less air pollution means fewer		
	chemicals in the waterways.		
+1 biodiversity cards	Organism diversity may improve		
+1 human health cards	Although planting trees won't reduce the air pollution very much, it still helps!		
\$4,000	Cost of planting trees		
	Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Town 2: Rabbit

Make one land decision

Problem: As more of the land in your town becomes part of larger farms, there is less room for younger people and retired farmers to buy small homes. Right now, there are two farms that are up for sale because the farmers are struggling to make a living. The town can either leave the land zoned for farming or can be zoned for homes. The farms have a few small streams running through them, and one of them has a wetland, which are marshy areas that have lots of biodiversity, take pollution out of streams, and prevent floods and erosion.

A. Keep the land zoned as farmland, but transition to organic practices. In order to avoid the use of pesticides, the town will only allow organic farming, which will keep the soil healthy and protect the wetland. This type of low-impact farming





would improve human health because it would provide the town with a source of local food, and it would promote biodiversity because fragile habitat would be

protected. The town will have to support the farmers while they transition to organic farming at a cost of \$3,000. After the transition to organic farming is complete, the town will earn \$500 a year in taxes from the farmers.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+4 Water quality card	The marsh will be protected and no more	
	chemicals will be used.	
+2 Biodiversity card	The marsh and organic farm provide habitat	
	for fish, frogs and birds	
+2 Human health card	There will be fewer toxins in the water, and	
	healthier, organic food for people to eat.	
- \$3,000	Supporting organic farmers.	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

Profit in five years: \$2,500

B. Keep the land zoned as a commercial, modern farm, and allow farmers to continue using chemicals to kill weeds and pests. This will not dramatically improve the environment, but may allow some farmers to offer food at more competitive prices. This will earn the town \$500 a year in taxes.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
-4 Water quality card	The marsh will be less protected and chemicals will continue to be used.	
-2 Biodiversity card	The marsh will be more polluted	
-1 Human health card	There will be continually more toxins in the water, but people will still have access to fresh vegetables	
+ \$500	Profit from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

C. Zone the land for development. You will have to build a better road system for the new homes, and will have to connect them to the town's water and electrical systems. This will cost \$5,000, but you will make \$8000 in property taxes during the first year, and \$1,500 each additional year. Building cheaper housing would be great for many local residents, who think the town of Rabbit has become too expensive. It would also allow the town to keep more people in the area, which will eventually help the economy, since those people would work and shop in town.



Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
-4 Air quality card	Homes will use energy that increases air	
	pollution	
-4 Water quality card	The wetland will be lost	
-4 Biodiversity card	The marsh and soil ecosystems will be lost.	
-3 Human health card	People may be happier, with increased space	
	for development, but will be harmed by	
	decreased air and water quality.	
+ \$3000	Profit from taxes.	
	Residents who like this idea:	2 60%

Profit in five years: \$7,500

Make one water decision

Problem: There is some open space in Rabbit where several farmers would like to develop a chicken farm or grown corn. Although water quality isn't currently an issue in the town, both the corn farm and the chicken facility could create a lot of waste, and it would require a lot of water from the river. Plus, the downstream city of Moose is already complaining about water pollution from Rabbit.



A. Approve the chicken farm. The chicken farm would offer jobs to local people and a source of local meat and provide profit from taxes.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?	
Money Costs and Profit			
- 3 Air quality card	Animal manure is a major air pollutant on		
	farms		
-4 Water quality card	Animal manure is a major pollutant of rivers		
-3 Biodiversity card	Less biodiversity in the polluted river		
-4 Human health card	Polluted water will have to be treated for it to		
	be safe.		
+ \$2,000	Profit from taxes paid by chicken farmers		
	Residents who like this idea: 50%		

B. Use the land for a conventional corn farm. Everyone loves eating corn! However, corn requires a lot of chemicals to grow (fertilizers) and is often genetically modified to resist pests. This means that the corn contains a toxin (called Bt, or *Bacillus thuringensis*), that is a poison to insects. When an insect tries to eat the corn, it is killed. The farmer then doesn't have to spray pesticides on his crops. Corn also needs a lot of water to grow.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
-4 Water quality card	Corn needs a lot of water and chemicals to	
	grow	
-3 Biodiversity card	Chemicals in the soil and in the corn will	
	kill insects and possibly other organisms	
-1 Human health	The impacts of eating genetically modified	
	corn is uncertain	
\$2,000	Profit from taxes	
	Residents who like this id	dea: 40%

Profit in 5 years: \$10,000

C. Approve the chicken farm only if it is organic. With the problem of water pollution from the chicken waste as well as concern about the happiness of the chickens, some residents would like to see the project be organic and free-range. This means that the farmer would only be able to have 12,000 chickens because each chicken needs space to roam freely eating chemical-free grass and grain. The chicken poop could be sold as organic fertilizers to other farmers. It will cost the town \$4,000 to encourage the farmer to go organic, but the town will receive \$1,000 a year in taxes (half as much because the farmer can't raise as many chickens).



Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+2 Water quality card	Clean water will be available for people	
+2 Biodiversity card	Insects and other birds will also be able	
	to live in the farm and less chemicals in	
	the river means healthier aquatic	
	organisms.	
+2 Air quality cards	Eating locally will reduce air pollution.	
+4 Human health	Healthy, organic chickens will be	
	available to residents.	
-\$3000	Cost of transitioning to organic practices	
	minus the profit from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

Make one air decision:

Problem: Air pollution moves up into the sky and moves with the wind. You have some concerns about air pollutants from other parts of the county.

Plant trees. This would cost \$4,000, which would reduce air pollutant levels between 1-15% (depending on the type of pollutant and how many trees you plant). It will also cost the city some money each year for maintenance.



Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+ 2 air quality card	Trees will remove some air pollutants.	
+ 1 water quality cards	Less air pollution means less polluted rainfall.	
+1 biodiversity cards	Better ecosystem health means more	
	biodiversity in the ecosystem.	
+ 1 human health card	Human health will improve	
- \$4,000	Plant trees	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Profit after five years: - \$2,500

B. Pay for medical fees. Paying for medical fees would cost at least \$4,000, although it could cost much more, depending on how many people get sick.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
-3 air quality cards	Air pollution would continue to increase	
- 3 water quality card	Air pollution eventually leads to water	
	pollution through acid rain.	
-2 biodiversity cards	Biodiversity will continue to decline.	
- 3 human health cards	Human health would continue to decrease	
	since nothing would be done about the	
	pollution.	
- \$4,000	Health costs	
	Residents who like this idea: 60	0%

Make one land decision

Problem: Since most of the land in Hawk is protected by the government as a forest preserve, there can't be a lot of development. But, in the past, the State government has allowed some use of natural resources if it is justified. Local residents would like to use some of the land to develop apple orchards.



A. Allow orchards if they are certified by EcoApple. Traditional apple orchards use a lot of chemicals to keep the insect pest numbers down. This, however, has severe consequences for the people living around the orchards as well as the workers. For example, cancer clusters in children have been found near apple orchards in Ulster County, NY. EcoApple is a certification process that encourages growers to reduce the amount of chemicals and use integrated pest management systems. This means using beneficial insects to kill the harmful ones instead of always relying on chemical sprays. Allowing orchard development would benefit the town through taxes (\$1,000/year). However, getting certified through EcoApple costs money, which the town will need to pay for each year (\$500/year).

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+2 Air quality card	Trees help clean the air and maintain shade and	
	humidity	
+1 Water quality card	While some water pollution will happen initially	
	when the trees are cut down, the new orchards	
	should provide some of the same benefits as the	
	forest	
0 Biodiversity card	Since the forest is being cut down, some	
	organisms may not survive locally.	
0 Human health card	Creating EcoApple orchards should not affect	
	human health	
+ \$500	Profit from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

Profit after 5 years: \$2,500

B. Allow traditional apple orchards. Traditional apple orchards are easier and cheaper to begin and maintain, and the farmers would prefer this option. However, some residents are worried about the chemicals that would be used on the orchards, not just for themselves but for the workers in the orchards and the surrounding environment. The town would make \$1,000 each year in taxes from the orchards.



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+2 Air quality card	Trees help clean the air and maintain shade and humidity	
-4 Water quality card	Chemicals would end up in the river	
- 2 Biodiversity card	Forest animals would have to find new habitat, soil microbes and insects would no longer survive in the dry soil. The trees would all be replaced with seeds.	
-4 Human health card	Chemicals can affect the people working in the	

S Residents who like this idea: 80%		
+ \$ <u>1</u> ,000	Profit from taxes	
L	orchards, eating the apples, and drinking the water nearby.	

Profit in five years: \$5,000

C. Don't allow the development of orchards. This option wouldn't cost anything, except that some local people may not be able to find jobs. These people may not vote for politicians on the town board that refused logging. Trees would continue to provide forest biodiversity, and clean water and air.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+2 Air quality card	Forests are important in cleaning air, even the	
	polluted air from nearby cities	
+2Water quality card	Hawk's forest will continue to provide healthy	
	water for its inhabitants.	
+2 Biodiversity card	As the forest grows, it may create even more	
	habitat for plants, animals and microbes.	
+2 Human health card	Healthy forests can lead to healthy people!	
0\$	No profit, no cost	
Residents who like this idea: 60%		

Make one water decision

Problem: A bottled water company would like to build a plant to extract "pure mountain" water from the ground, bottle it and sell it. They are willing to pay high taxes, which will provide income for the town. However, they would deplete the amount of groundwater and possibly the amount of water in the river.



A. Allow the bottled water plant. This would bring \$2000 a year in taxes for the town. This money could be used to improve roads or for health care. It would reduce biodiversity in the water. It would also reduce the amount of water in Green River,

which would cause less water availability in Woods, View and Moose City. If you build the plant and allow logging in the last decision, you may not have enough space for all the new employees to live, so you would have to build homes.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
-3 Water quality card	Less water would be available for residents	
	of Hawk and other towns downriver	
-2 Biodiversity card	Some aquatic and soil microbes would be	
	affected by decreasing amount of water	
+\$2000	Income from taxes paid by the water	
	bottling company.	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

B. Do not allow them to build the bottled water plant. This would deter other businesses from establishing themselves in Hawk, making industry and business people think that Hawk is an unwelcoming place. This decision would maintain the natural quality of Hawk, because no new roads or people would enter the area, and it would maintain the water quality and amount of water available for the residents of Hawk, Woods, View and Moose City.

Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
+2 Water quality card	Clean mountain water will be available for	
	people	
+2 Biodiversity card	Biodiversity in Hawk will continue to prosper.	
\$0	No profit, no cost	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

Make one air decision

Problem: Hawk receives a lot of air pollution from other parts of the county, and people are tired of this problem. There is also a proposal to develop a fish farm in the town, which would bring much-needed income. However, these operations are often energy-intensive and thus could produce even more air (and water!) pollution.



A. Allow a conventional fish farm. The fish farm would raise salmon, which are a high-value fish that many people like to eat because it is heart-healthy. However, these farms require fresh water, protein-rich food (salmon are carnivores), and often antibiotics to keep the fish healthy in close quarters.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
- 1 air quality cards	Energy will be needed to run the fish	
	farm	
- 3 water quality cards	Waste from the fish and antibiotics used	
	during production could pollute the	
	river even more	
+ 0 human health card	Eating fish could improve people's	
	health, but the contaminants from the	
	fish farm would be a problem	
+ \$1,000	Profits from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

B. Allow a closed, indoor fish farm. There are more innovative fish farms that are indoors and raise vegetarian fish such as tilapia. They don't produce any waste by using hydroponics to grow plants in the tanks along with the fish. However, they do require a lot of energy to run the tanks and the systems to operate the factory, and they don't produce as many fish because they don't try to grow as many as the conventional fish farms.



Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
- 1 air quality cards	Air pollution would get worse over time,	
	unless solar panels or another renewable	
	energy source is used	
0 water quality cards	Water pollution would not change	
+ 2 human health cards	Human health would be improved by the	
	availability of local fish	
\$800	Profit from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

Profit in five years: \$4,200



C. Convince Moose City to plant trees. This would cost at least \$6,000 to plant trees in Moose City, which would reduce air pollutant levels between 1-15% (depending on the type of pollutant and how many trees you plant).

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+ 2 air quality card	Air pollution should slowly start to improve.	
+ 1 human health card	Human health will improve	
- \$6,000	Plant trees in Moose City	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Make one land decision

Problem: Due to development pressure within your town, real estate prices have become very high. Several farmers would like to sell their land for development, while others would like to develop a local dairy business. All dairy operations will result in some beef and veal sales, since most male cows will not be kept on a dairy farm and older animals need to be removed from milking.

A. Allow the farmland to become zoned for homes. You will have to pay \$2000 to build roads and connect people to the town's water and electricity systems. You will earn \$1000 a year in property taxes in the following years. You will also



make businesses very happy, since more people will be buying things in the town. However, there is opposition to this plan from some residents, who are afraid that View is becoming too populated. People are worried about traffic, air and water pollution, all of which will increase if new homes are built. Biodiversity would decrease, because the homes would be built on open space.

Ecosystem Services	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
and Money Costs and		
Profit		
-3 Air quality card	Increased traffic and energy use put pollution in the	
	air	
-2 Water quality card	People in these homes will use more water and	
	create wastewater	
-3 Biodiversity card	The land will be used for people instead of other	
	animals, plants or microbes	
-3 Human health card	More homes means more pollution from traffic and	
	new buildings	
- \$1,000	Cost of new roads plus profit from taxes	
	Residents who like this idea: 709	<u> </u>

B. Use the land for a commercial, modern dairy farm with up to 300 cows. This will not dramatically improve the environment, but may allow some farmers to offer food at more competitive prices, and to take advantage of the increase in demand for Greek yogurt. In New York State, farmers can have up to 300 cows on their property and not be considered a CAFO, or Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation, thus avoiding a lot of regulations. Modern dairy farms use a lot of grain to feed their animals instead of grass, and often need to use antibiotics to keep their animals healthy in confined conditions while eating grain. Allowing such a modern dairy farm will earn the town \$500 a year in taxes.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
- 2 Air quality card	Animal waste can cause air as well as water pollution	
-4 Water quality card	Animal waste is the one of the top causes of water pollution in New York State	
-1 Biodiversity card	While some open space will be preserved, the land will mostly be used for cows and to grow food for the cows	
-3 Human health card	Waste from the cows and chemicals from the fields can be a human health hazard for workers and residents	
+ \$500	Profit from taxes	
	Residents who like this idea: 80)%

Profit in five years: \$2,500

C. Use the land for a organic dairy farm with up to 150 cows. Fewer cows means less waste, and raising them organically means their food is grown organically and their products can be sold at a higher price. Allowing such a dairy farm will earn the town \$500 a year in taxes.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
- 1 Air quality card	Animal waste can cause air as well as water pollution	
-2 Water quality card	Animal waste is the one of the top causes of water pollution in New York State	
0 Biodiversity card	Since chemicals will not be used to grow the cows' food, there should not be a huge reduction in biodiversity	
- 1 Human health card	Waste from the cows can be a human health hazard for workers and residents	
+ \$500	Profit from taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Make one water decision

Problem: Your town has been struggling with water shortages and water contamination in the past years. The Beaver Creek has experienced several fish kills as a result of eutrophication. In this process, excess fertilizers in the water cause algae to grow quickly, and when it dies and is decomposed, the decomposers use up the oxygen in the water, causing the fish to die. Eutrophication causes dead zones all along the coast of the United States and around the world, but especially in the Gulf of Mexico.

A. Pay upstream farmers to use fewer chemicals. You will spend \$5000 to train on organic practices and how to reduce their chemical use. There is no guarantee, however, that the farmers will actually change their farming practices.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+2 Water quality card	Beaver Creek would have less fertilizer pollution	
+1 Biodiversity card	A healthier creek will provide habitat for aquatic animals and plants	
+1 Human health card	Safer drinking water	
- \$5000	Cost of paying and educating farmers and paper-makers.	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Profit in five years: \$0

A. Build a new drinking water treatment plant. You have to spend \$7,000 to build the plant, but it would provide jobs which would bring \$1,000 in income taxes in each of the following years. The treatment plant would remove the extra nitrate that is in the drinking water, but not from the water in the streams or rivers.

Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
-2 Water quality card	Water quality in streams would not improve	
	because the excess nitrate would still be in	
	the waterways, just not in the drinking water.	
-2 Biodiversity card	Biodiversity would not improve because the	
	aquatic organisms because nitrate would only	
	be taken out of drinking water.	
+3 Human health	Improved water quality	
-\$6,000	Cost of the treatment plant	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Profit/cost over five years: + \$5,000

Make one air decision:

Problem: For the last 20 years, the town has been sending its garbage via trucks to a landfill in Pennsylvania. There is a proposal to build an energy-efficient waste incinerator. This incinerator would, instead of releasing heat and toxic emissions, capture those emissions and use them to create energy for the town. While this is more expensive, it would provide another energy source for the town.

A. Build an energy-efficient incinerator: You have to spend \$7,000 to build the incinerator, but, you will save money in the future because you will be able to create your own energy from the heat of the fire that is burning the trash. You can expect to save \$1,000 a year in energy costs by using the incinerator as a source of energy. The incinerator will create jobs. However, an incinerator would produce toxic ashes that would have to go to a landfill and could create some groundwater pollution (which many people use as drinking water in View). http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Incinerator



		and the second se
Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+ 0 water quality card	Groundwater pollution may occur from the ashes	
	of the incinerator.	
+ 3 air quality cards	Air quality will improve because you will not	
	release pollution from trucks or the plant.	
+ 1 human health card	Human health will improve because fumes will	
	not be released (as compared to a normal	
	incinerator)	
- \$6,000	High cost, but it will last a long time and you will	
	save \$1,000 a year in energy costs	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

Profit in five years: \$5,000

B. Build a normal incinerator. This will cost \$3,000, but it will provide construction and maintenance jobs. Normal incinerators, however, create air pollution and ash, which has to be disposed of properly. This ash is often a combination of hazardous materials, and it is generally sent to a landfill. Depending on how the ash is disposed of, it could contribute to water pollution due to runoff from the landfill.

Ecosystem Services and Money	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Costs and Profit		
- 3 air quality cards	This incinerator will create a lot of air pollution.	
- 3 water quality cards	Water pollution will result from runoff from the	
	toxic ash that is created.	
- 3 human health cards	Human health will suffer from air and water	
	pollution.	
- \$3,000	Cheaper than the energy-efficient incinerator	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		



C. Don't build an incinerator. Instead, spend \$2,000 a year to continue to ship your town's waste to Pennsylvania, where it will be deposited in a landfill. This option would contribute to air pollution because of the trucks that have to haul the waste, and it will impact human health from both the air pollution and the runoff from the landfill (although this will only affect the people in Pennsylvania).

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
- 1 air quality card	This will create air pollution from the trucks that transport the waste.	
-2 water quality cards	This will create water pollution from the landfill runoff.	
- 2 human health cards	Human health will suffer from air and water pollution, not just in your town, but also the town in Pennsylvania that is receiving the waste.	
- \$2,000	Cheaper than building either incinerator	
	Residents who like this idea:	60%

Profit in five years: - \$10,000

D. Create a recycling plan and encourage people to reduce their waste. Starting a recycling program would cost \$3,000, but it would also provide jobs. If the plan works, you could make some money by selling the recyclable materials.



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+ 1 air quality cards	This will reduce some air pollution.	
+ 2 water quality cards	This will reduce some water pollution.	
+ 1 human health card	Human health will improve, but driving	
	the recycling to the plant will still create	
	some pollution.	
- \$3,000	This would also create some jobs.	
Residents who like this idea: 60%		

Make one land decision

Problem: Like the other towns in the county, Woods is facing development pressure. A large (200 acre) piece of forest is up for sale, and many people in the town are discussing if the land should remain forest or zoned for farming.



Allow development of a grass-fed beef farm. This kind of development would remove the forest, but it would limit the numbers of cows that are allowed on the farm to 100 (each free-ranging cow needs about 2 acres for food). The cows would need access to pasture year-round, but will also need some grain and hay in the winter.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
-2 Air quality card	Trees help clean the air and maintain shade and humidity.	
-2 Water quality card	Forests can reduce runoff and take up water pollution; animal waste may create water pollution	
-1 Biodiversity card	Forests provide habitat for many organisms	
+ \$2,000	Initial profit of \$1,000 from logs and then taxes on the farming activities	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

Profit in five years: \$5,000

B. Allow the land to stay a forest. This option doesn't cost anything, and it would make residents who enjoy the outdoors very happy. However, it also wouldn't provide many jobs or any businesses.



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+2 Air quality card	Forests are important in cleaning air, even the polluted air from nearby cities	
+2 Water quality card	Wood's forest will continue to provide healthy water for its inhabitants.	
+2 Biodiversity card	As the forest grows, it may create even more habitat for plants, animals and microbes.	
+2 Human health card	Healthy forests can lead to healthy people!	
\$0	No profit, no cost	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

C. Allow development of a conventional beef farm. If you don't want to raise free-range cows, you can have a lot more animals on your land. On 200 acres, you can have enough cows for a medium-sized CAFO (concentrated animal feeding operation) – between 300-999. However, you'll need to deal with a lot of manure and you will need to purchase food for the animals. Residents are interested in this option, however, because their beef may be cheaper.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
-2 Air quality card	Animal manure can be an air pollutant.	
-3 Water quality card	Cow manure is a significant cause of water pollution in the U.S.	
-2 Biodiversity card	The land will be used for people instead of other animals, plants or microbes.	
-3 Human health card	Air and water pollution may result.	
+ \$2,000	Initial profit of \$1,000 from logs and then taxes on the farming activities	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		

Make one water decision

Problem: Since your town gets water mostly from private wells, you are having trouble with the drinking water in the area due to the lumber mills. You have to decide whether to develop a town-wide drinking water supply system or whether to provide medical expense coverage for people who may get sick from drinking their well water.

A. Build a drinking water treatment plant and expand the pipe system to include everyone in town. This would cost \$7,000 and possibly more in the future for maintenance. This would also create jobs, bringing in \$1000 a year in income taxes. This project would create jobs for local construction workers and people who would work in the water treatment plant. The town would benefit because now all of the water would be clean, and residents wouldn't have to worry about getting sick from drinking their well water.



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+1 Water quality card	While drinking water will be treated, streams and rivers will remain contaminated	
+0 Biodiversity card	The new forest will provide habitat for animals and increase trees along and inside the river.	
+4 Human health card	Safer drinking water	
- \$6000	Cost of building the treatment plant.	
Residents who like this idea: 70%		

Profit in five years: \$5,000

B. Train farmers and loggers to use fewer chemicals. You will spend \$6,000 training farmers and paper-makers to use fewer chemicals, which can reduce the amount of chemicals in the streams, rivers, and groundwater. However it will not clean up the existing pollution problems.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+2 Water quality card	Healthier rivers	
+1 Biodiversity card	Healthier rivers will provide habitat for aquatic animals and plants	
+2 Human health card	Safer drinking water	
- \$6000	Cost of paying and educating farmers and paper-makers.	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Make one air decision

Problem: There is a proposal to develop a new lumber mill in town, and you have to decide whether you will support this project or not. Also, the proposed plant would need energy to make paper, and needs to build either a coal-fired power plant or a green energy plant. The residents in town are split on their feelings about this proposal- some are for it and others are against it.



A. Support building a lumber mill with solar energy: This would provide new jobs, and the town would receive \$2,000 a year in tax revenue. In order to encourage the mill owners to build with solar, the town would have to pay \$4,000 in incentives. Using solar energy would eliminate the threat of air or water pollution, and residents who are worried about their health would probably support the project.



Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
+ 1 air quality card	The green energy plant will not create extra air pollution	
+ 1 water quality card	Without excess air pollution, acid rain will not be created as much	
+ 2 human health card	Human health will improve	
\$-2,000	Building green is more expensive	
Residents who like this idea: 50%		

Profit in five years: \$10,000

B. Support building a lumber mill with fossil fuel energy. This would provide new jobs, and provide the town with \$2,000 in taxes per year. However, the energy for the mill would come from a fossil fuels (natural gas), which would create more air pollution, like carbon dioxide, nitrous oxides, and sulfur dioxides. All of these contribute to acid rain, global warming and health problems.

Ecosystem Services and Money Costs and Profit	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
- 3 air pollution cards	Building both the mill and the coal-fired	
	power plant will increase air pollution.	
- 2 water pollution cards	With more air pollution, more acid rain	
	will occur.	
- 2 human health cards	Human health will suffer from acid rain,	
	polluted water, and poor air quality.	
+ \$2,000	Profit in taxes	
Residents who like this idea: 40%		

C. Reject the lumber mill: This would not provide any jobs, and might make some people angry who want jobs. It would also mean that the town wouldn't make any money from taxes. However, it would eliminate the threat of water and air pollution. Many people think that since there are already a few struggling lumber mills in town, another one would make things worse for current mill owners. Many residents worry that they won't have enough wood to make all of the mills profitable.

Ecosystem Services and	Consequence	Who will this most affect?
Money Costs and Profit		
+ 3 air pollution cards	The trees will help the air quality, and the lack of	
	new buildings will mean less air pollution.	
+ 3 water quality cards	The trees will improve water quality, and the lack	
	of new buildings will mean less pollution in the	
	waterways.	
+ 2 biodiversity cards	Without a new mill, fewer trees can be cut down	
	in the forest.	
+ 3 human health cards	Without additional air and water pollution, health	
	problems should improve.	
\$0	No profit, no cost	
Residents who like this idea: 80%		